≡Sprint

Pete Sywenki
Director. Federal Regulatory Relations

Law & External Affairs
1850 M Street, NW. Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20036
Voice 202 828 7452
Fax 202 296 3409
pete.n.sywenki@mail.sprint.com

RECEIVED

NOV 21 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE

November 21, 1997

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.   Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

     RE: CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-160

Dear Ms. Salas,

    Today, Sprint representatives met with Martha Hogarty, Barbera Meisenheimer, and Hung Hu of the Missouri Public Service Commission to discuss the attached materials regarding enhancements to the Benchmark Cost Proxy Model. Representing Sprint were Jim Sichter, Richard Lawson, and John Banks.

    Sprint requests that this information be made a part of the record in this matter. In accordance with Commission Rule 1.1206(a)(1), the original and three copies of this notice are being filed with your office. Please call if there are any questions.

                 Sincerely,

                 Pete Sywenki

Attachment

No. of Copies rec'd 0+2
List ABCDE

BCPM2.5

BCPM, Version 2.5

Enhancements to the

Benchmark
Cost
Proxy
Model

Jefferson City, Missouri
November 21, 1997

sponsored by Sprint. U S WEST BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

What the BCPM Does!

- It does estimate the costs that would be incurred by an efficient local provider serving the entire market.

- It does assume state-of-the-art technology, in certain cases more advanced than what currently is used.

- It does work! The network constructed by the model functions and builds sufficient plant to reach all customers.

- The model meets the FCC criteria, mandates and guidelines for proxy models.

sponsored by   *Sprint.*   USWEST   BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

What the BCPM Doesn't Do!

- It does not reproduce the costs incurred by any existing provider.

- It does not replicate the network layout as it exists today.

- It does not (necessarily) use the same materials used in the network today.

- In its preliminary form it does not estimate the costs of unbundled network elements. (Model has been used to produce loop costs; soon to incorporate UNE modules. (Version 3))

sponsored by   Sprint.   US WEST   BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

LOGIC:

External Inputs:
Area, Soil Type,
Company Name
Households,
Businesses,
Distance from Wire Center,
Topography,
Depth to Bedrock

User Adjustable Inputs:
Prices of cable, NID, fill factors,
plant mix %, structure sharing %,
cost of trenching/backfilling

External Inputs and
User Adjustable Inputs
are combined in the Logic file
to construct
the network and calculate the
required investment
dollars.

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint.* **IISWEST** *BELLSOUTH*

How the LOGIC file works:
User Adjustable Inputs and External Inputs are combined
in a series of If/Then statements and mathematical calculations.

These produce figures (output) on the initial investment required:
Total length of feeder,
total length of distribution,
number of lines on copper,
number of lines on small vs. large digital loop carriers
number of ducts or poles or manholes
investment dollars for buried/underground/aerial
for the specific area.

Next step is to turn investment dollars into monthly costs...

sponsored by ◆ **Sprint.** **USWEST** **BELLSOUTH**

BCPM2.5

Cap Cost &
Expense Module:

User Adjustable Inputs Set #2:
return on equity, return on debt,
depreciation lives,
state/federal/other taxes
future net salvage percentages

This module produces two key sets
of information used to estimate
monthly costs: annual
charge factors and operating
expenses.

Annual
Charge Factors:
Applied to the Investment
Figures calculated earlier to
turn investment into
monthly costs.

Operating Expenses:
G&A, General Support,
Marketing.
These will become part of
monthly costs.

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint.* **US WEST** **BELLSOUTH**

BCPM2.5

Enhancements:
BCPM to BCPM2.5
New Data Source for Wire Center Boundaries

- Because costs vary greatly within a single wire center, cost estimation must occur below the wire center level.

- Accurate wire center boundaries are the key to measuring costs accurately.

- BLR boundary information is mapped to individual census blocks allowing for greater detail of analysis.

- Hatfield 4.0 (and BCPM1.1) map only to census block group level. Result is misallocation of customers.

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint.*   **US WEST**   **BELL**SOUTH

BCPM2.5

CBG near Steelville Telco, MO

290939701002

BCPM2.5

Example:
Wire Center Boundary based on
Census Blocks vs. Census Block Groups

□ Actual Wire Center Boundary

▓ BLR Boundary used in BCPM2

□ Actual Wire Center Boundary

▓ Representative Halfield Boundary

sponsored by ◆ Sprint.   US WEST   BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

Moving Below the CBG Level:

- Previously, entire CBG was mapped to a certain wire center and costs calculated. CBGs served by 2 or more wire centers were "assigned" only to one.

- Distance/Density key cost drivers. BOTH are distorted by mis-assignment of customers.

- Result: Access line count was inaccurate, required investment was mis-stated, inaccurate costs.

- Solution: New data source allows mapping of individual census blocks to wire centers, allowing validation of access line counts and more accurate cost estimates.

BCPM2.5

CBG by Chariton Valley Telco, MO

BCPM2.5

Enhancements:
BCPM1.1 to BCPM2.5
Below the CBG Level in Rural Areas

○ Previous Issue: Standard assumption for network construction was customers uniformly distributed throughout CBG. This was inappropriate for rural areas.

○ Previous approach: For CBGs with density < 5 HH per sq. mile,
  -reduce total CBG area to equivalent of 500 ft. "buffer" along roads
  -assume all customers located within this new area but still uniformly distributed
  -assume new area is square, build network as before

• Problem: Did not eliminate enough vacant area, no accounting for existing clusters of rural customers.

sponsored by   ◆ *Sprint.*   **U S WEST**   **BELL**SOUTH

BCPM2.5

Enhancements:
BCPM1.1 to BCPM2.5
Below the Rural CBG Level (cont.)

- New Approach: Eliminate CBGs completely. Overlay the Wire Center with Grids (1/25th to 1/200th degree).

- Eliminate areas with no population and no road miles.

- Reduce grid size further to target customer location.

- Assume population is distributed along road miles (validated econometrically).

- Result: New Model builds to clusters of customers where they actually exist.

- Result: New Model eliminates building plant to unpopulated areas.

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint* ▪ **USWEST** **BELL**SOUTH

BCPM2.5

BCPM1.1

BCPM2.5

sponsored by Sprint. USWEST BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

■ Various sized grids applied to actual wire center. Road centroid
will partition each grid into quadrants.

------- New BLR Boundary

——— Grid Boundary

✗   Road Centroid

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint.* **U.SWEST** *BELL*SOUTH

163.24 133.11 156.75 111.96 69.17 110.95 161.84

217.8 133.29 126.65 103.92 108.24 35.32 41.82 87.95 118.03 202.48 159.63

31.37 95.62 81.99 70.6 69.89 10.33 38.04 15.92 52.51 65.17 117.79 115.61

14.63 13.08

14.52 14.18

115.7 93.24 95.7 99.97 79.26 27.33 10.93 19.47 10.34 76.78 112.68 113.83

38.69 22.15 30.27

171.25 129.05 114.32 158.14 98.3 70.59 40.54 36.24 98.95 151.06 87.72

122.5 88.63 96.51 130.73 168.39 233.21

WEST PLAINS

198.24 104.7 158.66 170.44 159.22

333.57 109.26 190.17 246.94

187.6 168.11 228.14 258.9

BCPM2.5

Example of

New Feeder Beginnings

Tilting main feeder (creating a Y effect) in order to target feeder to actual customer locations within the wire center.

sponsored by

Sprint.

USWEST

BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

New Distribution Engineering

Individual grid becomes new engineering area. Road centroid of grid is used to create quadrants, the area of quadrant is reduced to reflect road miles, and distribution built within this reduced area.

BCPM2.5

Examples of
Customer Locations from Satellite Maps

sponsored by ◆ Sprint®   IUSWEST   BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

sponsored by Sprint. U S WEST BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

Examples of Output

Location Maps by Modes

BCPM2

sponsored by ≋Sprint. USWEST BELLSOUTH

BCPM2.5

Enhancements:
BCPM to BCPM2.5
Expense Module Changes

- Previously, all expenses calculated on per-line basis.
- Issue: This approach can distort by either...
  - applying too much plant-related expense in dense areas, or
  - applying expenses where they are actually not incurred (e.g. aerial metallic expense)
- Solution: Allow user to determine when expenses are applied "per investment category", "per line", or combination of both.
- Average Costs unaffected, cost distribution changes.

sponsored by ◆ *Sprint*® **U S WEST** *BELL*SOUTH